

Phoenix, AZ 85014

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	VENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/648,128	08/26/2003	Ian Jeffrey Obstfeld	Ian Jeffrey Obstfeld 7433-A-1 3192	
75	90 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
Jordan M. Meschkow			PUROL, SARAH L	
Meschkow & G	resham, PLC			
Suite 409			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5727 North 7th Street			3634	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/648,128	OBSTFELD ET AL.			
\ Oπice Action Summ		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sarah Purol	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 November 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in co						
closed in accordance with th	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) is/are object						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	o restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/648,128

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown 1,848,214. Brown teaches a display unit (capable of merchandising eyewear) teaching a bottom panel 4, left panel 1 and right panel 2; top panel 11 coupled between left and right panels and oblique to the bottom panel. Note divider strips 10 which are "substantially" coincident with the top edges of both right and left panels. Note also back panel 6.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Broersma 2,532,600. Brown teaches the device claimed absent the divider strips coupled to the top panel. Broersma teaches a display rack with divider strips 19 for the purpose of separating merchandise. To modify Brown to include divider strips for the purpose of separating merchandise as taught by Broersma would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Breining et al 4,150,752. Brown teaches the device claimed absent the back

Application/Control Number: 10/648,128

Art Unit: 3634

wall mounting holes. Breining et al. teach back wall mounting holes for the purpose of mounting the eyeglass display to a wall. To provide Brown with back wall mounting holes for the purpose of supporting the display on a wall would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brozak, Jr. 6443317 in view of Hessell. Brozak, Jr. teaches left and right frames 14 onto which mirror 16 is mounted. Indicia is mountable at 10. Eyeglass display units are shown at 2. To substitute the Hessell displays for 2 for the purpose of holding more eyeglasses would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Whether the top panel is oblique to the bottom panel is a matter of choice.

All remarks and arguments have been considered but are considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any Application/Control Number: 10/648,128 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Purol whose telephone number is 703-308-3766. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Tues. Thurs. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

Sip 3634